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Introduction

In biology, photosynthesis and cell metabolism have been identified as important, yet conceptually difficult areas (Stavy et al, 1987). The literature on ‘misconceptions’ or ‘alternative frameworks’ documents the difficulties that many students have in understanding these concepts (Stavy et al, 1987; Wandersee et al, 1994). Often these misconceptions have developed from previous learning experiences which may be personal in nature, show incomplete and contradictory understanding and these can be very stable and highly resistant to change (Driver and Bell 1986; Wandersee et al, 1994). Unsurprisingly, some teachers and textbook authors often subscribe to the same alternative conceptions and misconceptions as their students, perhaps partly because they have been subjected to similar teaching regimes (Wandersee et al, 1994).

Traditionally, a learning and teaching sequence on photosynthesis in tertiary education couples a didactic lecture with a practical class (Hodson 1998). Common practical exercises to demonstrate photosynthesis concepts range from simple experiments on aquatic plants to isolating chloroplasts from spinach and using them to demonstrate the light reactions of photosynthesis (the Hill reaction). Placing Elodea in an inverted filter funnel in an effort to observe bubbles from the leaf surface is a classic demonstration of the generation of oxygen by plants in the light. The oxygen collected is then tested with a glowing splint.

At a higher cognitive level, chloroplasts can be isolated and a reaction viewed using the disappearance of DCPIP (a dye that changes colour during oxidation/reduction reactions). Most students fail to connect the change in colour of the dye – as a result of consumption of electrons derived from water – with the bubbles of oxygen appearing on the leaf of the Elodea plant. Similarly, the submicroscopic concepts within photosynthesis cannot be demonstrated to students without specialised instruments which, even if available, would provide a limited contribution to conceptual development in an undergraduate student.

A critical problem is that many students have very limited understanding of chemistry. For example, although the light reactions of photosynthesis can be measured using a fluorimeter, such an instrument is not comprehensible to a student who does not understand fluorescence, the quantum nature of light or how the experimental results relate to the underlying molecular concepts. Similarly, demonstrating with a pH meter that isolated thylakoids from chloroplasts can generate a pH gradient across the thylakoid membrane is not useful if the student only vaguely understands concepts of protons, acid/base reactions or pH.

Many educationalists (eg. Harrison and Treagust, 2002) have also questioned the usefulness of such practical exercises in deepening understanding of concepts, particularly those which try to relate a macroscopic response (e.g. in this case the bubbles of gas from the plant or chloroplast preparation) with an abstract, submicroscopic explanation (e.g. splitting of water to produce oxygen).

Instructional approaches that are designed to facilitate conceptual change and which rely on conceptual conflict, analogies and metacognitive strategies, have been shown to assist students in the transition toward scientifically acceptable understandings of natural phenomena (Wandersee et al, 1994; Gunstone 1995). Current research also suggests that, as many concepts required to understand scientific phenomena...
This paper focuses on a novel approach to the teaching of the light cycle of photosynthesis to promote conceptual (‘deep’) understanding rather than a ‘strategic’ or ‘surface’ learning approach (Biggs, 2003). The intention behind the development of these strategies is to supplement rather than replace traditional activities as described above. Model building using easily obtained ‘everyday’ materials is one way by which students can physically construct and manipulate their own representations of a given concept; it has been suggested as one intervention strategy which may develop cognitive-affective relationships (Wandersee et al, 1994). This approach has also been advocated in teaching particulate theory in submicroscopic (molecular-level) concepts.

Methodology

Step 1. The Lecture
The first step in this teaching and learning sequence commenced with a traditional didactic lecture covering the light-dependent and light-independent reactions of photosynthesis, including the structure and function of chloroplasts within the plant body. The teaching strategy was mainly auditory in its focus with accompanying visual material in the form of a detailed Powerpoint presentation and animations (Figure 1). Students were also able to access the information given in the lectures and supplementary material on a dedicated website using Web CT. Specific references to a range of textbooks (our recommended textbook was Campbell and Reece, 2005) and a CD-ROM produced at the University of Western Sydney were also given.

Step 2a. Making a Model Chloroplast
The practical class followed the lecture. Students were asked to make a model chloroplast. They were provided with some equipment having specific functions (see Appendix 1). The method of these strategies is to supplement rather than replace traditional activities as described above. Model building using easily obtained ‘everyday’ materials is one way by which students can physically construct and manipulate their own representations of a given concept; it has been suggested as one intervention strategy which may develop cognitive-affective relationships (Wandersee et al, 1994). This approach has also been advocated in teaching particulate theory in submicroscopic (atomic/molecular-scale) aspects of the ‘splitting’ of water and the production of molecular oxygen.

Once these pieces have been provided, the students were left to build the model, working in small groups. Throughout the process, the demonstrators (teaching assistants) guided the students by listening to the dialogue of the group and interacting when appropriate to challenge, confront or confirm students’ developing concepts. Some examples of these chloroplasts are shown in Figure 2.

Step 2b. Drawing the Model (a visual approach)
Students were then asked to draw their conceptions of a chloroplast and the processes of the light-dependent stage of photosynthesis on a A3 piece of paper, based on the model constructed in Step 2a (an example is shown in Figure 3).

Step 3. A Role-play within a Lecture
After this ‘traditional’ lecture and ‘novel’ practical, the concepts of photosynthesis were re-taught within an interactive lecture using role-play and concept diagrams (Novak, 1981; Tronson and Ross, 2004). The lecture theatre was set up as the chloroplast with grana and Photosystem I and thylacoid membranes and granum were paper plates. Photosystems II were dark green sponges, while Photosystems I were yellow sponges. Chlorophyll molecules were represented by green crepe paper that was cut into the shape of chlorophyll molecules (head and long tail) and inserted into grooves in the sponges. ATP synthase was a push board pin that could be pushed through a paper plate and secured in place for safety with a cork. NADP⁺ reductase was a coloured thumb tack. The inner and outer membranes were represented by clear plastic bags, while the H⁺ ions were printed on a piece of paper. The electron transport chain was created from string and beads.

Students were questioned on how they could represent the stroma. The oxygen-evolving complex was also drawn on a piece of paper and attached to Photosystem II, along with H⁺ ions that can be removed from the complex, so that students could represent the submicroscopic (atomic/molecular-scale) aspects of the ‘splitting’ of water and the production of molecular oxygen.

Example of a question to be discussed with demonstrators (TAs) within the class:

Example of a question to be discussed with demonstrators (TAs) within the class:

Draw 2 water molecules in the oxygen-evolving complex. Remove a hydrogen and an electron until the only molecule remaining is O₂ (you have been given a start below). Where does the oxygen released in photosynthesis come from?
Photosystem II embedded within the membrane (protein complexes within the membrane of a chloroplast). The students were labelled as various ions, electrons and molecules by A4 paper and involved in a role play. The overhead projector was used to act as photons of light.

In the darkened theatre, the photosynthesis reaction was started when the light from the projector was shone on ‘Photosystem II’. A student was asked to come and eject an ‘electron’ from ‘Photosystem II’ and take it to an ‘electron acceptor’ which existed at the back door of the lecture theatre. Other students in the audience were asked to visualise an electron in their cupped hands and eject it, by throwing away the imaginary electron.

A second student was then asked to replace the ‘missing electron’ by taking an electron from ‘water’, which is depicted on the board as $4H^+$ ions plus four electrons plus two oxygen molecules. In this process, the lecturer shows how an $H^+$ ion is released. The overhead projector was turned off and turned back on again, and the whole sequence repeated three times (representing four photons of light in total and ejecting four electrons).

At this point, it was explained that the two oxygen atoms can combine to form an $O_2$ molecule, and a student physically combined these and carried a symbol for $O_2$ out through the door, representing diffusion of the oxygen gas out of the cell. Since this was a tiered lecture theatre, the released electrons were passed from hand to hand down the ‘electron transport chain’, (the steps, represented by other students) to replace the ‘electrons’ ejected when a ‘photon’ (the OHP) was shone on ‘Photosystem I’. This was repeated three times until the electrons were finally passed to NADP$^+$ to form NADPH + $H^+$ (cofactors within the biochemical pathways).

It is important throughout this sequence to use as many students in the lecture theatre as possible and finish with $H^+$ diffusing through ATP synthase (the final, membrane-bound enzyme in the photosystem pathways). It was possible to simulate the physical arrangement of how electrons are transported in enzyme-mediated reactions within a membrane. To consolidate the visual aspects of learning, this role-play was combined with an increasingly complex summary of events being simultaneously constructed on an overhead (or Powerpoint or white-board) as the sequence progressed. During all these sessions students were requested to make their own pictorial image of what was occurring.

**Step 4. Small-group Discussion**

To facilitate discussion of any misconceptions, and to revise these complex teaching strategies, students were asked to depict diagrammatically what is occurring in a chloroplast in subsequent small-group situations (in this case a tutorial session). An example of such a construction is shown in Figure 3. Tutors and demonstrators discussed students’ diagrams with them to ensure that all important concepts had been included (in a simplified form compared to Figure 1). This was followed up by advising students to revise their understanding with use of the textbook and interactive CDs on photosynthesis.

**Discussion**

The teaching and learning sequence described in this study used analogies, model making and role plays to make the abstract ideas in photosynthesis more tangible. In an evaluation of the teaching and learning methodologies used within the unit, students’ comments in an open-ended response were overwhelming positive (Ross and Tronson 2004).
One advantage of using models is that the materials used in the model building exercises are inexpensive items. Another advantage is that student familiarity with the items used in novel ways during the practical exercise also enhances learning and recall of the scientific concepts that are represented (Oakley, 1994). If students begin to believe they can understand abstract concepts, then they are more likely to gain confidence and develop conceptual understanding of topics which are abstract and complex. This may have a positive effect on academic performance (Schommer, 1993). Essentially, the students are participating in what has been described as ‘building conceptual bridges’ (Glynn, 1995) through constructing physical analogies, or models, of a scientific structure or process that cannot otherwise be seen.

Modelling photosynthesis in this manner will also encourage students to have an image of the chloroplasts as three-dimensional operating systems within membrane-bound compartments. For example, once a model thylacoid envelope, made of two paper plates joined together, is damaged by actually making holes in the paper plate, photosynthesis can be ‘seen’ to be uncoupled. Such an understanding of the transport of electrons through membranes and compartmentalisation of hydrogen ions is not possible by completing a standard traditional practical on photosynthesis. Although this teaching and learning sequence was developed for a tertiary level education, any of these strategies could be implemented into a secondary school context.

Traditional teaching and learning strategies which have been developed to increase conceptual understanding of photosynthesis, often emphasise macroscopic observations in an attempt to make the submicroscopic (molecular) scale understandable. Although the literature on student understanding of photosynthesis has received growing attention (Wandersee et al, 1994), very little of it suggests strategies to teach submicroscopic concepts. Instead, the emphasis is based on providing clarity to the notion that green plants synthesise their own carbohydrates intracellularly and do not obtain all their food from the soil (Wandersee et al, 1994). This indirect metacognitive skills to understand abstract concepts (Biggs 2003).

Some caution is necessary so that new difficulties in conceptual understanding are not created through the introduction of ‘simplified’ but ‘incorrect’ models that then become ‘new’ misconceptions retained by students. As educators, we need to be aware that student understanding may develop through both increments and reversals of direction rather than by a linear series of steps (Harrison and Treagust, 2002). If this is so, then this ‘teach/re-teach’ sequence – using a variety of teaching and learning strategies – could aid the students to increase their conceptual understanding by helping them construct a photosynthesis model for themselves, piece by piece.

Students’ comments indicated that the teaching and learning strategies described in this paper increased their level of enjoyment in this difficult conceptual area (Ross and Tronson, 2004). A minority of students commented that they were somewhat embarrassed about having to act like pre-schoolers’ and these individuals may have become discouraged about learning in a ‘different’ way. However, these students could access the more traditional routes of didactic lecture, textbook, CD-ROM, and websites for their own private study. There is evidence that individuals who successfully construct complex and elaborate conceptual structures in a given domain of knowledge tend to form positive attitudes about that domain and raise their own self-esteem (Schommer 1993; Biggs 2003). We would agree with the model proposed by Wandersee et al, (1994) that conceptual change may be intimately linked to affective change.
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